

This is a House redistricting plan proposed by Rep. Spec Bowers. This has NOT been adopted by the committee and will be amended.

Belknap:

1) Center Harbor, New Hampton - 1 rep, -0.9% dev.

2) Meredith - 1 rep, - 1.9%

3) Gilford - 1 rep, 4.8%

4) floterial Meredith + Gilford - 2 reps

5) Sanbornton, Tilton - 2 reps, -0.7%

6) Alton - 1 rep, 4.0%

7) Barnstead - 1 rep, -4.8%

8) floterial Alton + Barnstead - 1 rep

9) Laconia - 4 reps, 5.6%

10) Belmont - 2 reps, -1.6%

11) Gilmanton - 1 rep, 0.7%

12) floterial Laconia + Belmont + Gilmanton - 1 rep

range 10.5%

Carroll

My preferred plan because it has the smallest districts:

1. Conway, Madison - 4 reps, -4.2%

2. Eaton, Freedom, Ossipee - 2 reps, -5.4%

3. Bartlett, Jackson, Chatham, Hales and Harts Locations - 1 rep, -5.1%

4. Moultonborough, Albany, Sandwich, Tamworth - 2 reps, 1.4%

5. floterial of Bartlett, Moultonborough districts - 1 rep

6. Wolfeboro, Tufonboro, Brookfield, 3 reps, -5.1%

7. Wakefield, Effingham - 2 reps, -0.6%

range 6.8%

My second new plan is closest to the current districting so may have some advantage for incumbents:

1. Conway, Bartlett, Jackson, Chatham, Hales and Harts Locations, Eaton, Freedom - 5 reps -2.2%

2. Madison, Albany - 1 rep, -1.6%

3. Moultonborough, Ossipee, Tamworth, Sandwich - 4 reps, -4.5%

4. Wolfeboro, Tufonboro, Brookfield, 3 reps, -5.1%

5. Wakefield, Effingham - 2 reps, -0.6%

range 4.5%

third plan

1) Conway, Jackson, Chatham -2 reps, -2.8%

2) Bartlett, Hales, Harts, Albany, Tamworth, Madison, Eaton, Freedom - 2 reps, -4.5%

3) floterial of Conway district + Bartlett district - 3 reps

4) Wakefield, Effingham, 2 reps, -0.6%

5) Wolfeboro, Tufonboro, Brookfield - 3 reps, -5.1%

6) Ossipee, Moultonborough, Sandwich - 3 reps, -1.6%

range 4.5%

### Cheshire

- 1) Walpole, Chesterfield, Westmoreland, Surry - 3 reps, 0.7%
  - 2) Alstead, Marlow, Stoddard, Gilsum, Sullivan, Nelson, Roxbury - 2 reps, -3.4%
  - 3) Marlborough, Harrisville, Dublin, Troy - 2 reps, 2.8%
  - 4) Jaffrey, Rindge, Fitzwilliam - 4 reps, 5.3%
  - 5) Hinsdale, Winchester, Richmond - 3 reps, -3.4%
  
  - 6) Keene - 6 reps, 5.2%; should subdivide into wards
  - 7) Swanzey - 2 reps, -1.7%
  - 8) floterial of Keene + Swanzey - 1 rep
- range 8.7%

### Coos

- 1) Pittsburg, Clarksville, Columbia, Errol, Dummer, Stratford, other tiny towns - 1 rep, 0.7%
  - 2) Colebrook, Stewartson - 1 rep, 0.4%
  - 3) Berlin - 3 reps, 1.8%
  - 4) Gorham, Shelburne, other tiny towns - 1 rep, -1.8%
  - 5) Milan, Stark, Kilkenny, Jefferson, Randolph - 1 rep, 0.6%; ugly district divided by Kilkenny
  - 6) Lancaster, Northumberland, Dalton, Whitefield, Carroll - 3 reps, -0.3%
- range 3.6%

### Grafton

- 1) Littleton, Monroe - 2 reps, 2% dev.
  - 2) Bethlehem, Franconia, Lisbon, Lyman, Sugar Hill, Easton - 2 reps, -0.1%
  - 3) Haverhill, Bath, Landaff, Benton - 2 reps, -0.4%
  - 4) Lincoln, Woodstock, Waterville Valley, Livermore - 1 rep, -0.2%
  - 5) Orford, Piermont, Warren, Dorchester - 1 rep, -0.2%
  - 6) Thornton, Elsworth, Wentworth, Rumney, Groton, Hebron, Orange - 2 reps, -1.4%
  - 7) Campton - 1 rep, 1.3%
  - 8) Plymouth - 2 reps, 6.2%
  - 9) Alexandria, Bristol, Bridgewater, Ashland, Holderness - 3 reps, 0.6%
  - 10) Hanover, Lyme - 4 reps, -1.4%
  - 11) Lebanon - 4 reps, -0.1%; each ward gets 1 rep, plus 1 at-large district
  - 12) Enfield, Grafton, Canaan - 3 reps, -0.4%
- range 3.5%

A variation on my Grafton plan:

Instead of 3-rep Enfield, Grafton, Canaan have floterial:

- Enfield - 1 rep, -5.0%
- Grafton, Canaan - 1 rep, 4.0%
- floterial of all three towns - 1 rep

Could do similar thing with Bristol 3-rep district:

- Bristol, Alexandria - 1 rep, -4.6%
- Bridgewater, Ashland, Holderness - 1 rep, 4.4%
- floterial of all five towns - 1 rep

### Hillsborough

- 1) Manchester - 33 reps, dev. 0.9% overall; it will be subdivided with each ward getting 2 reps and each group of 4 wards getting 3 reps in a floterial
- 2) Nashua - 27 reps, -2.7% overall; will be subdivided 3 reps per ward
- 3) Pelham - 4 reps, -2.0%
- 4) Hudson - 6 reps, -0.8%
- 5) Litchfield - 2 reps, 0.3%
- 6) floterial on Hudson&Litchfield - 2 reps
- 7) Merrimack - 6 reps, 1.4%
- 8) Bedford - 5 reps, 1.3%
- 9) floterial on Merrimack&Bedford - 3 reps
- 10) Goffstown - 4 reps, 0.5%
- 11) Weare - 2 reps, 0.2%
- 12) floterial on Goffstown&Weare - 2 reps
- 13) Milford - 4 reps, 0.4%
- 14) Amherst - 3 reps, -0.6%
- 15) floterial on Milford&Amherst - 1 rep
- 16) Hillsborough, Windsor, Antrim, Dearing, Hancock, Bennington, Francestown, Greenfield - 5 reps, 4.7%
- 17) New Boston, Mont Vernon, Lyndenborough, Wilton - 4 reps, -0.6%
- 18) Peterborough, Sharon, Temple, New Ipswich - 4 reps, -0.5%
- 19) Hollis - 1 rep, -3.8%
- 20) Brookline, Mason, Greenville - 1 rep, 0.1%
- 21) floterial on Hollis&Brookline et al. - 3 reps  
range 5.0%

### Merrimack

1. Hooksett, 4 reps, 2.2%
  2. Bow, Dunbarton, 3 reps, 4.1%
  3. Epsom, Chichester, Pittsfield, 3 reps, -2.7%
  4. Allenstown, Pembroke, 3 reps, -0.9%
  5. floterial Epsom, Chichester, Pittsfield, Allenstown, Pembroke, 1 rep
  6. Concord, 13 reps, -0.2% - needs to be subdivided
  7. Salisbury, Webster, 1 rep, -1.1%
  8. ----- the rest of this county plan is truly terrible -----
  9. Hill, Franklin 1, Andover, 2 reps, -2.8%
  10. Franklin 2&3, Boscawen, 3 reps, -3.7%
  11. Northfield, Canterbury, Loudon, 4 reps, -5.1%
  12. Newbury, Sutton, Bradford, New London, 3 reps, 0.8%
  13. Danbury, Wilmot, Warner, Henniker, Hopkinton, 5 reps, -4.1%
- range 8.4%

### Rockingham

1. Londonderry, 7 reps, 4.7%
2. Derry, 10 reps, 0.6%

3. Windham, 4 reps, 3.3%
  4. Salem, 9 reps, -2.8%
  5. Atkinson, 2 reps, 2.8%
  6. Greenland, Newington, 1 rep, -1.3%
  7. Newmarket, 2 reps, 1.5%
  8. floterial Newmarket, Greenland, Newington, 1 rep
  9. Portsmouth 1, 1 rep, -3.0%
  10. Portsmouth 3, 1 rep, -2.3%
  11. Portsmouth 4, 1 rep, -3.7%
  12. floterial Portsmouth 1, 3, 4 - 1 rep
  13. Portsmouth 2&5, New Castle, 3 reps, -4.4%
  14. Rye, North Hampton, 3 reps, -2.8%
  15. Exeter, 3 reps, 2.7%
  16. Stratham, Newfields, 2 reps, -1.9%
  17. floterial Exeter, Stratham, Newfields, 2 reps
  18. Hampton, 4 reps, -0.6%
  19. Seabrook, Hampton Falls, 3 reps, -3.0%
  20. floterial Hampton, Seabrook, Hampton Falls
  21. Kingston, E. Kingston, Kensington, 2 reps, -3.8%
  22. Newton, South Hampton, 1 rep, -2.0%
  23. floterial Kingston, E. Kingston, Kensington, Newton, South Hampton
  24. Hampstead, 1 rep, 0.2%
  25. Plaistow, 1 rep, -4.3%
  26. floterial Hampstead, Plaistow, 3 reps
  27. Raymond, 3 reps
  28. Northwood, Deerfield, Nottingham, 4 reps, 1.1%
  29. Candia, Auburn, Chester, 4 reps
  30. Epping, 2 reps, -2.6%
  31. Fremont, Brentwood, Sandown, Danville, 6 reps, -3.1%
- range 9.2%

### Strafford

1. Milton, Middleton - 2 reps, -3.1%
  2. Strafford, New Durham - 2 reps, 0.7%
  3. Farmington - 2 reps, 3.1%
  4. Barrington - 2 reps
  5. Lee - 1 rep
  6. floterial on Barrington, Lee - 1 rep
  7. Durham, Madbury - 5 reps, -0.3%
  8. Rochester - 9 reps, 0.4%; split it into three pairs of wards, each pair getting 3 reps
  9. Dover - 6 reps, each ward getting one rep, dev. 3.2% (ward 5) to 5.7% (ward 2)
  10. Rollinsford, Somersworth all wards - 3 reps, 1.2%
  11. floterial on Dover, Rollinsford, Somersworth - 4 reps
- range 8.8%

Sullivan

- 1) Plainfield, Grantham, Cornish - 2 reps, 6.2%
- 2) Newport, Croydon, Unity, Lempster - 3 reps, 2.3%
- 3) Sunapee, Springfield, Goshen, Washington - 2 reps, 0.4%
- 4) Claremont - 4 reps, -1.7% (ward 3) to 3.9% (ward 1); each ward 1 rep, plus 1 at-large rep
- 5) Charlestown, Acworth, Langdon - 2 reps, 1.7%  
range 7.9%